

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,248 08/24/2001		Dirk Kolowrot	H3381 PCT/US	7954	
423 7	7590 05/21/2002				
HENKEL CORPORATION 2500 RENAISSANCE BLVD STE 200			EXAMINER		
			GALLAGHER, JOHN J		
GULPH MILLS, PA 19406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733	0	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y-D-	G
------	---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on th cover sheet b	eneath th correspondence add	ress —
Period for Reply		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	$\frac{3}{2}$ month(s) from the maili	NG DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regent 1 NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ply within the statutory min expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tte, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days will be consider om the mailing date of this communicati to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	ed timely. on. 13).
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
☐ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935.			sed in
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s) 17-3 7 9-0 11-13	<u> </u>	is/are pending in the application	ation.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from cons	deration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)	*		election
pplication Papers	•	requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	* *	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		·	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	i)(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-	,	ło	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents			
in this national stage application from the International	· ·	, ,,	
*Certified copies not received:			.•
tta hment(s)	_		
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	nt rview Summary, PTO-413	
☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	- 1	Notice of Informal Pat nt Application	n, PTO-15
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-948		Oth r	
Office Ac	tion Summary		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

- Applicants' Preliminary Amendments (2), filed 24 August
 2001, have both been received and made of record.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (a) Page 5 line 24 "3" after "containing" should apparently read "2". (Further along this line, note lines 22 and 25 on this page); and (b) the Abstract page EITHER delete the page number at the bottom of this page OR change it to "20".

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. Claims 12-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim (MDC) should refer to other claims in the alternative only (i.e. the term "at least one of claims 1-11" is not (completely) alternative). See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, these claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 4. Claims 11-14, 22 and 27-33 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Specifically (a) claims 11-14 STILL depend from cancelled claim 1, and which four claims themselves also apparently should have been cancelled; (b) claim 22 line 1 no antecedent basis for the term "paraffinic"; (c) method of bonding claims 27 and 30 should be "fleshed out" to recite at least a step of (1) applying an adhesive to one or more

of; and (2) contacting and/or pressing together, the substrates to be bonded i.e. these claims as now presented are seen to be incomplete; and (d) claim 33 - no antecedent basis for the term "the FIBER is thereof." in lines 1-2 thereof.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 15-17, 19-26 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lindquist et al.

Lindquist et al. disclose a hot melt adhesive composition composed of an α -olefin copolymer, an (e.g. cycloaliphatic) hydrocarbon tackifying resin and an (e.g. white paraffinic mineral) oil, which composition (a) may contain conventional (inert) additives (e.g. pigments etc.); (b) is characterized by low viscosity; and (c) exhibits excellent adhesion or adhesive affinity for thermoplastic (e.g. polyolefin etc.) resin substrate (e.g. film) materials. (Abstract, column 1 lines 13-15, column 2 lines 40-45 and 55-58, column 3 lines

18-63, column 5 lines 4-24 and 54-56, column 6 lines 21-30). All of the essential compositional limitations of these claims (to include relative amounts of components) are held to be satisfied by this reference; further, although the term "sprayable" is not explicitly employed to define or characterize these adhesive compositions, they are indeed held to possess this capability (i.e. of being sprayed), in view of (a) their low viscosity (N.B. column 2 lines 40-45 and 55-58 and column 5 lines 9-13); and (b) the application equipment (viz. nozzles) employed to apply them (N.B. column 5 lines 54-56).

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srail.

Srail discloses a hot melt adhesive composed of an α -olefin (e.g. propylene, butylene etc.) homo or copolymer, a hydrocarbon tackifying resin and an (e.g. mineral) oil (along with other conventional additives), which composition (a) is

characterized by low viscosity; and (b) exhibits adhesive affinity for various substrate materials, to include paper, plastic, film etc. (Abstract, column 1 lines 27-38, column 2 lines 18-67, column 4 lines 53-56 and 70-73). All of the essential compositional limitations of these claims (to include relative component amounts) are held to be satisfied by this reference; further (a) although the term "sprayable" is not explicitly employed to define or characterize these adhesive compositions, they are indeed held to possess this capability (i.e. of being sprayed), in view of their disclosed low viscosity (N.B. column 4 lines 53-56); and (b) the use of a mixed monomer component for the production of the base α -olefin polymer component (i.e. to form a propylene-butylene copolymer) is held to be implicitly encompassed within the teachings of this reference; further along this line, N_B. (1) column 2 lines 18-28; and also (2) paragraph 1 of In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069.

9. Claims 27-29 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindquist et al. in view of Alper et al.

Alper et al. disclose hot melt adhesive compositions of the type and most similar to those of Lindquist et al. and further that such (or like) adhesives are known to be (a) and customarily employed in the construction and production of

disposable sanitary goods such as diapers and medical/surgical items; (b) formulated or blended utilizing a known technique which employs both (1) an inert gas blanket; and (2) the application of vacuum, of to effect removal of trapped air from the blended composition; and (c) applied by spraying (Abstract, N.B. column 1 lines 10-26, column 4 lines 1-15, column 7 lines 1-63, column 8 lines 21-29, N.B. column 9 lines 25-50), such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to (a) employ the adhesives of Lindquist et al. for the conventional and customary use documented in Alper et al. (viz. disposable sanitary goods formation); and (b) produce the adhesive of these primary patentees employing the formulation technique of Alper et al. for its documented, beneficial function and result (viz. entrapped air removal).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. J. Gallagher whose telephone number is (703) 308-1971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from approximately 8:30 A.M. to 5 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate N/A.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball, can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for this Group 872-93.0 is (703) 305-3599.

Art Unit 1733

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661/0662.

JJGallagher:cdc

May 9, 2002

JOHN J. GALLACHER
RRIMARY EXAMINER
ARTIUNITEST

ARTIUNITE